

Application No. 09/643,274**Atty Docket: ICLS 1001-2****REMARKS**

Claims 1, 3, 6, 8-25, 27-33, 50-61 and 62-67 are currently pending in this application. Claims 62-67 are newly added *In re Beauregard* claims.

Claims 3, 6, 8, 50 and 55 are rejected by the Examiner under 35 U.S.C. 112, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which the Applicants regard as the invention.

Claims 1, 3, 6, 8-10, 24, 25, 27-33, 50, 52, 53 and 61 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ginter et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,185,683 B1, hereafter "Ginter"), in view of Broerman (U.S. Patent No. 6,594,633 B1, hereafter "Broerman").

Claims 11-23, 51, 57, 59 and 60 are also rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ginter, in view of Broerman, and Klein, Jeffrey S., "Ending Confusion on Real Estate Terms," *The Los Angeles Times* [Home Edition], February 25, 1988, page 7 (hereafter "Klein").

Claim 58 is also rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ginter, in view of Klein.

Claims 54 and 56 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. Claim 55 would also be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejections under 35 U.S.C. 112 set forth in the Office Action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Rejections to the Specification

The disclosure is objected to with a request for Brief Descriptions of both FIGS. 16A and 16B. They previously were collectively referred to as Figure 16. Amendments to the specification are submitted above.

Rejections under 35 U.S.C. 112

The Examiner's interpretation of claims 3, 6 and 8 as properly depending from claim 1 and claim 55 as depending from claim 54 has been accepted and the claims

Application No. 09/643,274

Atty Docket: ICLS 1001-2

amended accordingly, taking into account that the limitations of claim 54 have been incorporated into each of the independent claims.

Rejections under 35 U.S.C. 103(a)

Applicants appreciate the Examiner's notice of allowability of claim 54. The limitations of claim 54 have been incorporated in each of the independent claims.

Applicants appreciate the Examiner's notice of allowability of claim 56. The limitations of independent claim 1 have been incorporated into claim 56.

Applicants believe, based on the Examiner's remarks in the last office action, that all of the claims are now in order for allowance.

CONCLUSION

Applicants respectfully submit that the claims, as stated herein, are now in condition for allowance and solicit acceptance of the claims, in light of these remarks.

The undersigned can ordinarily be reached at his office at (650) 712-0340 from 8:30 a.m. to 5:30 p.m. PST, Monday through Friday, and can be reached at his cell phone at (415) 902-6112 most other times.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: 19 September 2005



Ernest J. Beffel, Jr.
Registration No. 43,489

HAYNES BEFFEL & WOLFELD LLP
P.O. Box 366
Half Moon Bay, CA 94019
Telephone: (650) 712-0340
Facsimile: (650) 712-0263